J.

		$\mathcal{G}$
Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/844,283	BUCHWALD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Eva Yi Zheng	2611
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):		
(1) Eva Yi Zheng.	(3) Partick Garrett.	
(2) <u>Chieh Fan</u> .	(4) <u>Travis Halky</u> .	
Date of Interview: <u>04 October 2006</u> .		
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conference c)☒ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ applicant	2)⊠ applicant's representati	ve]
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes If Yes, brief description:	e)⊠ No.	
Claim(s) discussed:		
Identification of prior art discussed:		
Agreement with respect to the claims f) $\boxtimes$ was reached.	g)☐ was not reached. h)☐	N/A.
Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: <u>Attorney and Examiner discussed 102 and 103 rejection of Final Office Action.</u> Attorney presented the differences between the proposed amendments in view of prior art.		
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to 10 GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGE INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS IN FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE IN requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.	the last Office action has alread ER OF ONE MONTH OR THIR NTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM	dy been filed, APPLICANT IS TY DAYS FROM THIS I, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO
	•	

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required